



**Towards an ontology of religious  
and spiritual belief**

**Stefan Schulz**

<sup>a</sup> Medical University of Graz, Austria

<sup>b</sup> AVERBIS GmbH, Freiburg, Germany

**Ludger Jansen**

<sup>a</sup> University of Rostock, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Ruhr University Bochum, Germany

# Motivation of this work

## ■ Discussion on BFO list 11/17

The screenshot shows a forum thread on the BFO (Basic Formal Ontology) list. The thread title is "God and Faith-based entities in bfo" and it has 20 posts by 17 authors. The thread contains several replies from users including rrov., Larry Hunter, pierre., Fabian Neuhaus, Schober, Daniel, Bill Hogan, Stefan Schulz, Alan Ruttenberg, Ward Blondé, Steve Wartik, Albert Goldfain, Barry Smith, and Thomas Beale. The replies discuss the inclusion of God and faith-based entities in the BFO ontology, with some users questioning the inclusion and others providing justifications or clarifications. The thread ends with a message stating "This message has been deleted."

*Robert Rovetto:*

*Q1: Would bfo be inclusive of God, Soul, Spirit, etc.?*

*Q2: Does (or would) bfo exclude them (and if so why)?*

*Q3: If a user wants to include terms for God, Spirit, Soul, etc., how would they do so in bfo?*

*Q4: Would bfo be able to correctly characterize them according to the view of the user?*

# Motivation of this work

- Discussion on BFO list 11/17
- Relevance of faith-related concepts in human culture
- Impact on well-being, health, social behaviour
- Interest in developing a framework for ***terms of controversial reference*** in a realist ontology<sup>[1,2]</sup>, using a simple logic (OWL-DL)
- If this can be shown for religion / spirituality: blueprint for other domains: fiction, law, history, philosophy
- Preliminary work

*Robert Rovetto:*

*Q1: Would bfo be inclusive of God, Soul, Spirit, etc.?*

*Q2: Does (or would) bfo exclude them (and if so why)?*

*Q3: If a user wants to include terms for God, Spirit, Soul, etc., how would they do so in bfo?*

*Q4: Would bfo be able to correctly characterize them according to the view of the user?*

[1] Schulz, S; Brochhausen, M; Hoehndorf, R. Higgs Bosons, Mars Missions, and Unicorn Delusions: How to Deal with Terms of Dubious Reference in Scientific Ontologies. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Biomedical Ontology. 2011; CEUR-WS(833):183-190.-ICBO 2011 - 2nd International Conference on Biomedical Ontology.

[2] Schulz, S; Martínez-Costa, C; Karlsson, D; Cornet, R; Brochhausen, M; Rector, A. An Ontological Analysis of Reference in Health Record Statements. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems . 2014; 267: -8th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems (FOIS); Sept 22-25, 2014; Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

# Entities in religious / spiritual discourse

	Uncontested	Contentious
<b>Concepts / Repeatables</b>	Religious ceremonies Worshipping Religious beliefs Religious narratives Atheists, Priests, Shamans	Deities, angels, devils, elves Souls, Spirits Holiness, Enlightenment Immortality Miracles Reincarnations
<b>Individuals</b>	Muhammad, St. Paul Martin Luther Pope Francis Herod's Temple Bible, Quran, Talmud, "Abraham", "Ibrahim"	Abraham Noah Holy Trinity Heaven, Hell, Purgatory Nirvana The final judgement

# Reference without existence

- Ontology pattern<sup>[1]</sup> of denotation by information content entities (ICE):

*DL*:  $ICE\_A$  equivalentTo  $ICE$  and **represents\_k** only  $A$

*FOL*:  $\forall x (ICE\_A(x) \leftrightarrow ICE(x) \wedge \forall y (\mathbf{represents\_k}(x,y) \rightarrow A(y)))$

[1] Schulz, S; Martínez-Costa, C; Karlsson, D; Cornet, R; Brochhausen, M; Rector, A. An Ontological Analysis of Reference in Health Record Statements. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems . 2014; 267: -8th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems (FOIS); Sept 22-25, 2014; Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

# Reference without particular referents

- Ontology pattern<sup>[1]</sup> of denotation by information content entities (ICE):

*DL: ICE\_A* equivalentTo *ICE* and **represents\_k** only *A*

*FOL:  $\forall x (ICE\_A(x) \leftrightarrow ICE(x) \wedge y (\text{represents\_k}(x,y) \rightarrow A(y))$*

- Example:

*CancerDiagnosis* equivalentTo *Diagnosis* and  
**'represents health condition'** only *Cancer*

*CancerDiagnosis* equivalentTo *Diagnosis* and  
**btl2:represents** only (*Cancer* or not *HealthCondition*)

[1] Schulz, S; Martínez-Costa, C; Karlsson, D; Cornet, R; Brochhausen, M; Rector, A. An Ontological Analysis of Reference in Health Record Statements. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems . 2014; 267: -8th International Conference on Formal Ontology in Information Systems (FOIS); Sept 22-25, 2014; Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

# Challenges

- Representing (possibly) non-denoting concepts
  - Logical expressions that extend to defined classes (may be empty), without violation of logical constraints:
- Elements of narratives
  - Individual characters: information entities that extend to classes that may have one or zero members
- Representing beliefs
  - Beliefs as dispositions <sup>[1]</sup>
  - Beliefs having a propositional content

*Examples using the btl2 domain upper ontology (could be others)*

[1] A. Barton. Towards an ontology of beliefs. JOWO 2018

# Representing (possibly) non-denoting concepts

- *Creation* equivalentTo *bt12:process* and  
‘**bt12:is realization of**’ some *bt12:plan* and  
‘**bt12:has outcome**’ some *bt12:universe*
- ‘*Immortal soul*’ equivalentTo ‘*bt12:immaterial entity*’ and  
‘**bt12:at some time**’ some  
    (‘**bt12:is part of**’ some ‘*Human body*’) and  
‘**bt12:is agent in**’ some ‘*Intellectual process*’ and  
not (‘**bt12:is bearer of**’ some  
    (*bt12:disposition* and ‘**bt12:has realization**’ some *Dying*))



# Elements of narratives

- **'btl2:is part of'** (**AbrahamCharacter**, **TheBookGenesis**)
- *AbrahamHuman* EquivalentTo *Human* and  
**'btl2:is represented by'** value **AbrahamCharacter**
- **AbrahamCharacter** Type *Character* and **btl2:represents** only  
(*Human* and (**'btl2:is bearer of'** some *MaleQuality*) and  
(**'btl2:is agent in'** some *Marrying*))
- *Ascribing* subclassOf *btl2:process* and  
(**'btl2:is part of'** some *Narrating*) and  
(**'btl2:has agent'** some (**'btl2:is bearer of'** some *AuthorRole*)) and  
(**'btl2:has patient'** some *Character*) and  
(**'btl2:has outcome'** only *P*)

# Conceptualizing deities

- 'btl2:is part of' (YahwehCharacter, TheBookGenesis)  
'btl2:is part of' (AllahCharacter, Quran)  
(...)
- '*God character*' = {YahwehCharacter, AllahCharacter, ZeusCharacter,...}  
*Deity EquivalentTo bfo:Continuant* and  
    '**btl2:is represented by**' some '*God character*'
- '*Deist God character*' equivalentTo '*God character*' and  
    **btl2:represents only**  
    ('btl2:is agent in' some  
    ((*Creating* and '**btl2:has outcome**' some *btl2:universe*) or  
    not '*Causal intervention*'))

# Conceptualizing belief and believers

- *'Belief B'* subclassOf *'Belief disposition'* and  
**'btl2:inheres in'** some (**'btl2:is bearer of'** some  
*('Belief proposition'* and **btl2:represents** only *B*))
- *'Belief proposition that souls exist'* equivalentTo *'Belief proposition'* and  
**(btl2:represents** only *Soul*)
- *Deist* equivalentTo *Human* and **'btl2:is bearer of'** some  
*('Belief proposition'* and  
**(btl2:represents** only  
**'btl2:is represented by'** some *'Deist god character'*)))

# Open issues

- Deities that are material objects (e.g. Sun), qualities, ...
  - Impact on foundational ontologies
- Representing other flavours of mental representations
  - Disbelief: not believing  $\neq$  believing that not  
(Negation: belief in holy cats implies belief in holy animals;  
disbelief in holy animals implies disbelief in holy cats)
  - Hoping, expecting, considering, hypothesising,...
- Representing propositional content as filler of universally quantified roles:
  - Representational “trick”
  - Need for higher order logics ?

# Outlook

- Use cases for ontologies of beliefs / religious entities?
- Beliefs may imply risks
  - Non-compliance with medical advice due to belief in destiny
  - Omission of reasonable decisions due to belief in astrology
- Related areas (cf. Keynote Peter Simons), requiring the representation of propositional content
  - Law: disagreements, e.g. Conflicting witness statements,
  - Statements of policy, opinion
  - Compliance with plans / regulations
  - Psychiatry
  - Fiction

- **Thank you for your attention**
- Contact: [stefan.schulz@medunigraz.at](mailto:stefan.schulz@medunigraz.at)
- BioTop ontologies: <http://biotopontology.github.io/>
- Prototype Ontology of Religious and Spiritual Belief: <http://purl.org/biotop/orsb.owl>
- Acknowledgements:
  - Robert Rovetto and members of the bfo-discuss list
  - Janna Hastings (aspects of IAO and MFO)